MAMMAL CWCS SPECIES (16 SPECIES)

Common name	Scientific name
Allegheny Woodrat	Neotoma magister
American Black Bear	Ursus americanus
Appalachian Cottontail	Sylvilagus obscurus
Cinereus Shrew	Sorex cinereus
Cotton Mouse	Peromyscus gossypinus
Eastern Small-footed Myotis	Myotis leibii
Eastern Spotted Skunk	Spilogale putorius
Evening Bat	Nycticeius humeralis
Gray Myotis	Myotis grisescens
<u>Indiana Bat</u>	Myotis sodalis
Kentucky Red-backed Vole	Clethrionomys gapperi maurus
Long-tailed or Rock Shrew	Sorex dispar blitchi
Rafinesque's Big-eared Bat	Corynorhinus rafinesquii
Southeastern Myotis	Myotis austroriparius
Swamp Rabbit	Sylvilagus aquaticus
Virginia Big-eared Bat	Corynorhinus townsendii virginianus

CLASS MAMMALIA

Allegheny Woodrat

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
N	N	G3G4	S4	G3	S4

Neotoma magister

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Populations in the northeastern U.S. have declined (NatureServe 2004).

Comment Populations in New York began a precipitous decline in the mid-1960's and

apparently were extirpated by 1987 (Hayes 1990) and only a single population along the Hudson River Palisades remains in New Jersey (J. C. Sciascia, New Jersey Division of Fish, Game, and Wildlife, pers. comm. in Castleberry 2000). In Indiana, Maryland, Ohio, and Pennsylvania, woodrats have been extirpated from many sites where they were known historically (Hall 1985, Johnson and Marmer 1995; D. Feller, Maryland Natural Heritage Program, pers. comm. in Castleberry 2000).

S-Trend Stable

S-Trend Thomas (2003) found that longer-term monitoring sites were mostly stable to

Comment increasing, with the exception of Mammoth Cave National Park, which showed a dramatic decline one year, and then stable numbers at a depressed level the remaining years.

Habitat / Rocky cliffs and talus slopes. Makes midden mounds and stick piles among
Life History rocks, but secluded nest sites generally are not within stick houses (see Hayes and Harrison 1992). In Kentucky, "cliffs with deep crevices, caves, or large boulders piled in such a way as to form numerous retreats and shelters are favored" (Barbour and Davis 1974).

Key Habitat throughout Kentucky distribution: Cliffline habitat throughout Daniel
 Habitat Boone National Forest is GOOD, other areas (besides those listed below) are
 UNKNOWN.

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

1. Menifee County (Good)

2. McCreary County (Good)

3. Mammoth Cave National Park (Good)

Guilds caves, rock shelters, and clifflines, upland forest.

Statewide <u>AlleghenyWoodrat.pdf</u>

Map

Allegheny Woodrat

Neotoma magister

Conservation Issues

Biological/ consumptive uses

- 5H Isolated populations (low gene flow)
- 5L Parasitism and disease. raccoon roundworm (Baylisascaris procyonis)

Miscellaneous Mortality Factors

- 6D Human disturbance (spelunking, destruction/disturbance of nest sites)
- 6G Stochastic events (droughts, unusual weather, pine beetle damage, flooding etc.). Severe winter weather

Terrestrial habitat degradation

- 3K Surface mining. Valley fills also
- 3M Timber harvest
- 3R Habitat and/or Population Fragmentation
- 3U Loss, lack and degradation of special and unique microhabitats
- 3V Long-term loss of hard mast trees (American Chestnut, poor oak regeneration)

American Black Bear

Ursus americanus

	Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank		
	Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)		
	PS	S	G5	S2	G5	S2		
G-Trend	Increasin	Increasing						
G-Trend	Population	ons have incr	eased recent	ly in the noi	rtheastern U.S. (N	atureServe		
Comment	2004)	2004)						
S-Trend	Increasin	Increasing						
S-Trend	Kentuck	Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources data						

Comment

Habitat / Black bears prefer mixed deciduous-coniferous forests with a thick understory,Life History but may occur in various situations (NatureServe 2004).

Key Habitat throughout Kentucky distribution: GOOD, other areas (besides thoseHabitat listed below) are UNKNOWN.

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- 1. Cumberland Gap State Historic Park (good)
- 2. Kingdom Come State Park (good)
- 3. Big South Fork National River and Recreational Area (good)

Guilds Cumberland highland forest, upland forest.

Statewide <u>AmericanBlackBear.pdf</u>

Map

Conservation Issues

Biological/ consumptive uses

5P Market hunting for human consumption. Actually for "black market" sale of parts

Miscellaneous Mortality Factors

- 6D Human disturbance (spelunking, destruction/disturbance of nest sites)
- 6E Illegal killing

Appalachian Cottontail

Sylvilagus obscurus

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
N	N	G4	SRF	G4	S2

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Some maintain that the species may be facing extinction (Chapman and Morgan

Comment 1973, Feldhamer et al. 1984), whereas others believe recovery may be occurring

in certain areas (Bier, pers. comm., 1992 from NatureServe 2004). The discrepancy may arise from two conflicting trends--preferred habitat is restored in parts of the range, but eastern cottontails have expanded their distribution

both geographically and in terms of habitat and continue to displace the

Appalachian cottontail. Most heritage programs reported that population trend

is unknown. (NatureServe 2004)

S-Trend Unknown

S-Trend Trend and abundance are unknown, but a study by Sole (1999) documented

Comment Appalachian cottontails in 20 counties scattered throughout eastern Kentucky,

and suggested they could be found throughout the Eastern Coalfield

physiographic region and in portions of the Knobs and Outer Bluegrass

physiographic regions. Sole (1999) found the species more widely distributed

and at lower elevations than previously thought.

In Kentucky, Sole (1999) collected Appalachian cottontails from early Life History successional forests only, in contrast to most other studies (e.g., Llewellyn and Handley 1945, Handley and Patton 1947, Chapman and Morgan 1973, Chapman and Stauffer 1981). Early successional forests in Kentucky were created by coal mining, recently harvested forests, or abandoned farms that were reverting to forests, most all of which were hardwood stands (Sole 1999). Many of these habitats also had an ericaceous understory of mountain laurel, blueberries, and/or evergreen species of greenbrier (Sole 1999). Appalachian cottontails in Kentucky were collected from elevations ranging from 260 m to 867 m, much lower than the >610 m limit that Chapman et al. (1992) suggested for this species.

Key Habitat throughout Kentucky distribution: FAIR

Habitat

Habitat /

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- 1. Letcher County (Good)
- 2. Pike County (Good)
- 3. Breathitt County (Good)

Appalachian Cottontail

Sylvilagus obscurus

Guilds Cumberland highland forest, emergent and shrub-dominated wetlands, savanna/

shrub-scrub, upland forest.

Statewide AppalachianCottontail.pdf

Map

Conservation Issues

Biological/ consumptive uses

5D Competition from introduced/invasive or native species. May compete with eastern cottontail (Sylvilagus floridanus)

5E Hybridization with closely related species. May hybridize with eastern cottontail (Sylvilagus floridanus)

5H Isolated populations (low gene flow)

Terrestrial habitat degradation

- 3R Habitat and/or Population Fragmentation
- 3T Suppression of disturbance regimes. Forest maturation reduces habitat abundance
- 3W Cervid over-abundance. over-grazing or over-browsing may reduce habitat quality

~*	~ .
Cinereus Shrew	Conor oin anoug
Ciliereus Sillew	Sorex cinereus

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
N	S	G5	S 3	G5	S 3

G-Trend Stable

G-Trend Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources (J.R. MacGregor)

Comment

S-Trend Stable

S-Trend Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources (J.R. MacGregor)

Comment

Habitat / Occupies most terrestrial habitats excluding areas with very little or no

Life History vegetation. Thick leaf litter in damp forests may represent favored habitat,

although appears adaptable to major successional disturbances. Nest sites are typically in shallow burrows or above ground in logs and stumps (NatureServe 2004).

Key Habitat throughout Kentucky distribution: GOOD

Habitat

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- 1. Black Mountain (Good)
- 2. Pine Mountain (Good)

3. Nolansburg Quad (Good)

4. Smith Mills Quad (Good)

Guilds Cumberland highland forest, forested wetland.

Statewide <u>CinereusShrew.pdf</u>

Map

Conservation Issues

Unknown factors/variables

7A Unknown threats

	T
Cotton Mouse	Peromyceus gossyninus
Cotton Mouse	Peromyscus gossypinus

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
PS	T	G5	S2	G5	S 2

G-Trend Stable

G-Trend Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources (John MacGregor)

Comment

S-Trend Unknown

S-Trend

Comment

Habitat / In most areas, prefers bottomland hardwood forests, swamps, and mesic andLife History hydric hammocks but has also been found in margins of cleared fields, old fields,

edges of salt savanna, palmetto thickets bordering beaches, dry hammocks, beach dunes, pine flatwoods, upland timber, mixed pine-hardwood forests, pine-turkey oak, sand pine scrub, along rocky bluffs or ledges, in caves, and in little-used buildings (see Wolfe and Linzoy 1977 in NatureServe 2004). The species is probably most common in areas that periodically are inundated. Large logs and stumps are an important habitat component (McCay 2000 in NatureServe 2004).

Key Habitat throughout Kentucky distribution: FAIR

Habitat

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

1. Arlington Quad (Good)

Guilds forested wetland.

Statewide CottonMouse.pdf

Map

Conservation Issues

Unknown factors/variables

7A Unknown threats

Eastern Small-footed Myotis						Myotis leibii		
	Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank		
	Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)		
	N	T	G3	S2	G3	S2		
G-Trend	Stable							
G-Trend	This bat	always has b	een consider	red to be rela	atively rare (Bart	oour and Davis		
Comment	1969). N	Numbers are	reduced in a	few sites wl	here older counts	are available, and		
	a few historic sites are apparently no longer occupied (e.g., see Hall 1979, but							
	compare Dunn and Hall 1989). Many biologists believe that this species is							
	basically stable, having declined little in recent times, but that it is vulnerable,							
	especiall	especially in its cave hibernacula (NatureServe 2004). Due to the fact this						
	species g	generally hibe	ernates in inc	conspicuous	locations (e.g., t	inder rocks, cracks		
cave	in cave	ceilings and f	loors, and d	eep crevices	s), it is often over	looked during		
cave	surveys and may actually be more common in some areas than previously							
	believed	(Brown 199'	7).					
S-Trend	Stable							

S-Trend Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources (J.R. MacGregor)

Comment

Habitat / Small-footed bats are associated with hilly and mountainous terrain near or in

Life History deciduous or evergreen forest (NatureServe 2004). They roost primarily in rocky habitat (e.g., rock fissures, rock crevices, under rocks). Throughout their range they inhabit caves and mines in the winter (NatureServe 2004). They often roost near the entrances where temperatures can drop below freezing (Barbour and Davis 1969). During the summer, they have been observed roosting in hollow trees and under exfoliating bark, in buildings and in

expansion

joints of bridges (NatureServe 2004). In Kentucky, winter records of small-footed bats in caves and mines and even quarries exist, but it is highly likely that the bats also are found along clifflines (B. Palmer-Ball, Kentucky State Nature Preserves Commission, pers. comm.). Few summer roost sites are known for the species in Kentucky. Barbour and Davis (1969) observed an individual using a building and the only known maternity site in Kentucky is a bridge where the bats were roosting between the expansion joints. This species forages along streams and ponds (NatureServe 2004).

Key

Habitat throughout Kentucky distribution: GOOD

Habitat

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- 1. Ano Quad (Good)
- 2. Mammoth Cave National Park (Good)

Eastern Small-footed Myotis

Myotis leibii

3. Garfield Quad (Good)

Guilds caves, rock shelters, and clifflines, Cumberland highland forest, upland forest.

Statewide EasternSmall-footedMyotis.pdf

Map

Conservation Issues

Terrestrial habitat degradation

- 3K Surface mining
- 3L Mine closures
- 3M Timber harvest
- 3P Pollution/toxicity (e.g., heavy metals, pesticides, herbicides, acid rain)
- 3U Loss, lack and degradation of special and unique microhabitats

Eastern Spotted Skunk

Spilogale putorius

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
N	S	G5	S2S3	G5	S2

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Formerly abundant in the Midwest, has undergone a large decline; still rather

Comment abundant in southern and east-central Florida (Kinlaw 1995).

S-Trend Stable

S-Trend Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources (J.R. MacGregor)

Comment

Habitat / The species prefers forested areas or habitats with significant cover (Dragoo Life History and Honeycutt in Wilson and Ruff 1999), as well as open and brushy areas, rocky canyons and outcrops in woodlands and prairies. When inactive or bearing young, it occupies a den in a burrow abandoned by other mammal,

under brushpile, in hollow log or tree, in rock crevice, under building, or in

similar protected site (NatureServe 2004).

Key Habitat throughout Kentucky distribution: GOOD

Habitat

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

1. Beaver Creek Wildlife Management Area (Good)

2. Cliffline Habitat throughout the Daniel Boone National Forest (Good)

Guilds caves, rock shelters, and clifflines, Cumberland highland forest, upland forest.

Statewide <u>EasternSpottedSkunk.pdf</u>

Map

Conservation Issues

Unknown factors/variables

7A Unknown threats

Evening Bat Nycticeius humeralis

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
N	S	G5	S 3	G5	S 3

G-Trend Unknown

G-Trend This species appears to be decreasing in the northern part of its range as

Comment previously known maternity sites are now defunct (Whitaker and Hamilton 1998).

S-Trend Stable

S-Trend Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources (J.R. MacGregor)

Comment

Habitat / Evening bats utilize deciduous and mixed forest interspersed with cultivated **Life History** areas. They forage over clearings and farm ponds and along waterways and forest edge (Wilson and Ruff 1999, Choate et al. 1994). Reproductive females have been tracked to species of white oak on Mammoth Cave National Park suggesting maternity colonies were using them. It appeared they were using cavities in both dead and live trees. Most known maternity sites are buildings.
Kentucky only has a record of one barn being used, but since states like Indiana and Illinois have several such records (Mumford and Whitaker 1982, Barbour and Davis 1969), it is highly probable more structures are utilized here.

Whitaker and Mumford (1982) note that the species apparently used tree hollows for roosts in the past but have become dependent on manmade structures (because of the scarcity of the large hollow trees).

Though the species is not a "cave bat", it is one of many species that takes part in swarming outside cave entrances in the fall (Barbour and Davis 1969, Whitaker and Hamilton 1998). It has long been assumed that evening bats migrate to the southern part of their range for the winter but it is likely that some overwinter in Kentucky. They have been found during the winter in Arkansas (Baker and Ward 1967, Sealander 1960) as well as Missouri (Lynn Robbins, Southwest Missouri State University, pers. comm.). In Missouri, the evening bats roosted in tree hollows throughout the winter. An evening bat was recently documented roosting alternatively in the cavities of two trees from October into November, indicating that the individual would undoubtedly hibernate in Kentucky (M. Gumbert, pers. comm.).

Evening Bat

Nycticeius humeralis

Key

Habitat throughout Kentucky distribution: UNKNOWN

Habitat

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- 1. Barlow Quad (Good)
- 2. Mammoth Cave National Park (Good)
- 3. Millport Quad (Good)

Guilds

emergent and shrub-dominated wetlands, forested wetland, running water,

savanna/ shrub-scrub, upland forest.

Statewide

EveningBat.pdf

Map

Conservation Issues

Miscellaneous Mortality Factors

6D Human disturbance (spelunking, destruction/disturbance of nest sites).

Whitaker and Hamilton 1998

Terrestrial habitat degradation

- 3M Timber harvest
- 3P Pollution/toxicity (e.g., heavy metals, pesticides, herbicides, acid rain)

Gray Myotis Myotis grisescens

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE	T	G3	S2	G3	S2
Increasin	g				

G-Trend Having suffered declines probably since the 19th century, the gray bat

Comment population was an estimated 1,575,000 in the early 80s (Brady et al. 1982). By 1991, protection efforts at the most important caves yielded stable to increasing populations (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1992). The Recovery

hibernacula and stable or increasing populations at 75% of Priority 1 maternity caves during a period of five years) appears to have been met (Southeastern Bat

Plan criteria for downlisting (i.e., permanent protection of 90% of Priority 1

Diversity Network). The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is currently evaluating

whether the gray bat should be downlisted.

S-Trend Stable

G-Trend

S-Trend The summer population shows an increasing trend while the winter population

Comment has shown some fluctuations in size since it started using an additional hibernacula in 1999 (Wethington 2001; Kentucky Department of Fish and

Wildlife Resources data).

Habitat / Will use streams (as corridor), rivers, lakes; riparian areas and caves. Must have

Life History forested corridors (i.e., gray bats would not want to use stream without trees because maternity caves are normally found within 1 km. of river or reservoir).

Wetlands may be classified as suitable if they are within an undetermined buffer of suitable vegetation. Wetlands may be classified as suitable if they are within an undetermined buffer of suitable vegetation.

Key Habitat throughout Kentucky distribution: FAIR to GOOD

Habitat

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

1. Barren County (Good)

2. Taylor County (Good)

3. Upton Quad (Good)

Guilds caves, rock shelters, and clifflines, forested wetland, running water.

Statewide GrayMyotis.pdf

Map

Gray Myotis Myotis grisescens

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

2C Construction/Operation of impoundments (migration barrier).

Destroys/changes aquatic invertebrate community

Miscellaneous Mortality Factors

6D Human disturbance (spelunking, destruction/disturbance of nest sites)

Terrestrial habitat degradation

- 3K Surface mining
- 3M Timber harvest
- 3P Pollution/toxicity (e.g., heavy metals, pesticides, herbicides, acid rain)
- 3U Loss, lack and degradation of special and unique microhabitats

Indiana Bat Myotis sodalis								
	Federal	Heritage	GRank	GRank	SRank			
	Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)		
	LE	E	G2	S1S2	G2	S 1		
G-Trend	Decreasing							
G-Trend	Census c	Census data from 1995-1997 indicate an abundance decline of about 60 percent						
Comment	since pop	since population surveys began in the 1960s; the most severe declines have						
	occurred in Kentucky and Missouri, where the decline totals 430,000							
	individuals over the past few decades (Federal Register, 9 April 1999).							
	(NatureServe 2004)							

S-Trend Decreasing

S-Trend Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources data

Comment

Habitat / Special features Indiana bats tend to use include standing snag/hollow tree andLife History trees with a high percentage of exfoliating bark (e.g., Shagbark hickory).

Wetlands may be classified as suitable if they are within an undetermined buffer of suitable vegetation. Hibernates in caves; maternity sites are in trees (NatureServe 2004).

Key Habitat throughout Kentucky distribution: Breeding: UNKNOWN Wintering:Habitat GOOD

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- 1. Grahn Quad and Wesleyville Quad (Good)
- 2. Mammoth Cave National Park (Good)
- 3. Lee County (Good)
- 4. Ballard County (Good)
- 5. Derby Quad (Good)
- 6. Hart County (Good)

Guilds caves, rock shelters, and clifflines, Cumberland highland forest, emergent and shrub-dominated wetlands, forested wetland, running water, savanna/ shrub-scrub, upland forest.

Statewide <u>IndianaBat.pdf</u>

Map

Indiana Bat Myotis sodalis

Conservation Issues

Miscellaneous Mortality Factors

- 6D Human disturbance (spelunking, destruction/disturbance of nest sites).
 Winter caves (Twente 1955, Mohr 1972, Engel et al. 1976)
- 6E Illegal killing. Winter caves

Terrestrial habitat degradation

- 3A Row-crop agriculture (conversion to, annual reuse of fields, etc). Herkert 1992, Refsnider, pers. comm., 1992; Currie, pers. comm., 1992, all from NatureServe 2004
- 3F Urban/residential development
- 3H Habitat loss outside of Kentucky
- 3K Surface mining. Herkert 1992, Refsnider, pers. comm., 1992; Currie, pers. comm., 1992, all from NatureServe 2004
- 3M Timber harvest. Herkert 1992, Refsnider, pers. comm., 1992; Currie, pers. comm., 1992, all from NatureServe 2004
- 3N Removal of dead trees
- Loss, lack and degradation of special and unique microhabitats.
 Commercialization (Mohr 1972), altering microclimate (Matthews and
 Moseley 1990), and bat-unfriendly structures as formerly at Long's Cave in
 Mammoth Cave National Park, Kentucky

Kentucky Red-backed Vole

Clethrionomys gapperi maurus

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
N	S	G5T3	S 3	G3	S 3
		T4			

G-Trend Unknown

G-Trend

Comment

S-Trend Stable

S-Trend Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources (J.R. MacGregor)

Comment

Habitat / Prefers cool, mesic deciduous, coniferous, or mixed forests, especially areas

Life History with large amount of ground cover, but also uses second-growth areas. Mossy logs and tree roots in coniferous forests are optimal. In the northern part of its range also found in muskegs, sedge marshes, shrubby habitats, and treed peatlands (Merritt in Wilson and Ruff 1999). Often on rock outcrops in some areas (e.g., Virginia). Often associated with abandoned stone walls (fences) in the northeastern U.S. In Pennsylvania, abundance increased with forest fragmentation (Yahner 1992). Nests under logs, stumps and roots. Unlike Microtus sp., Kentucky red-backed voles do not dig tunnels, but use burrows

of moles and other small mammals (NatureServe 2004).

Key Habitat throughout Kentucky distribution: GOOD

Habitat

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- 1) Benham and Appalachia Quads (Good)
- 2) Bledsoe Quad (Good)
- 3) Nolansburg Quad (Good)
- 4) Whitesburg Quad (Good)
- 5) Kayjay Quad (Good)

Guilds Cumberland highland forest, emergent and shrub-dominated wetlands, savanna/ shrub-scrub.

Statewide KentuckyRed-backedVole.pdf

Map

Kentucky Red-backed Vole

Clethrionomys gapperi maurus

Conservation Issues

Unknown factors/variables

7A Unknown threats. Mostly unknown, but maybe mountain top removal mining.

Long-tailed Or Rock Shrew

Sorex dispar blitchi

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
N	E	G4T3	S 1	G3	S 1

G-Trend Unknown

G-Trend

Comment

S-Trend Unknown

S-Trend

Comment

Habitat / This species uses mountainous, forested areas (deciduous or evergreen) with

Life History loose talus. Rocky damp areas with deep crevices covered by leaf mold and
roots are preferred. It may occur along small mountain streams and will use
artificial talus created by road construction and pit mines. "Sorex dispar is
probably the most stenotopic mammal in eastern North America..." (Webster
1987). Nest sites are usually associated with natural subterranean tunnels
among boulder crevices (NatureServe 2004).

Key Habitat throughout Kentucky distribution: GOOD

Habitat

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- 1. Bledsoe Quad (Good)
- 2. Nolansburg Quad (Good)
- 3. Whitesburg Quad (Good)
- 4. Benham Quad (Good)

Guilds caves, rock shelters, and clifflines, Cumberland highland forest.

Statewide <u>Long-tailedOrRockShrew.pdf</u>

Map

Long-tailed Or Rock Shrew

Sorex dispar blitchi

Conservation Issues

Biological/ consumptive uses

- 5F Low population densities. Kirtland 1986
- 5H Isolated populations (low gene flow). Kirtland 1986

Miscellaneous Mortality Factors

6G Stochastic events (droughts, unusual weather, pine beetle damage, flooding etc.). Kirtland 1986

Terrestrial habitat degradation

- 3P Pollution/toxicity (e.g., heavy metals, pesticides, herbicides, acid rain).

 Dimond and Sherburne 1969; Churchfield 1992
- 3R Habitat and/or Population Fragmentation
- 3W Cervid over-abundance. Brooks and Healy 1988

Unknown factors/variables

7A Unknown threats

Rafinesque's Big-eared Bat

Corynorhinus rafinesquii

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
N	S	G3G4	S 3	G3	S 3

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend In summary, the species is known or suspected to be declining in more than half

Comment (10 out of 18) of the states within its range (NatureServe 2004).

S-Trend Stable

S-Trend In most other states, data are unavailable to determine trends. Colonies of thisComment bat in Kentucky seem to remain stable in size (John MacGregor).

Habitat / This species sometimes uses suburban/orchard type habitat, and the buildings it

Life History uses are usually abandoned and dilapidated. Special features it uses includes

cliffline habitat and even some bridge use. Wetlands may be classified as

suitable if they are within an undetermined buffer of suitable vegetation. It also

inhabits forested regions. Hibernation in the north and in mountainous regions

most often occurs in caves or similar sites; small caves are selected, and the bats

stay near the entrance (often within 30 m) and are thought to move about in

winter (Handley 1959, Barbour and Davis 1969). In Kentucky, shallow caves

or rock shelters in sandstone formations of the Cumberland Plateau often are

used (J.R. MacGregor).

Key

Habitat Condition for Kentucky distribution: Good

Habitat

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- 1. Mammoth Cave Quad and Rhoda Quad (Good)
- 2. Rowan County (Good)
- 3. Hail Quad (Good)
- 4. Pulaski County

Guilds

caves, rock shelters, and clifflines, emergent and shrub-dominated wetlands,

forested wetland, savanna/ shrub-scrub, upland forest.

Statewide

Rafinesque'sBig-earedBat.pdf

Map

Rafinesque's Big-eared Bat

Corynorhinus rafinesquii

Conservation Issues

Miscellaneous Mortality Factors

6D Human disturbance (spelunking, destruction/disturbance of nest sites)

Terrestrial habitat degradation

- 3L Mine closures
- 3M Timber harvest
- 3N Removal of dead trees
- 3P Pollution/toxicity (e.g., heavy metals, pesticides, herbicides, acid rain)
- 3U Loss, lack and degradation of special and unique microhabitats

Southeastern Myotis

Myotis austroriparius

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
N	E	G3G4	S1S2	G3	S 1

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend NatureServe 2004

Comment

S-Trend Unknown

S-Trend

Comment

Habitat / Special habitat features this species uses are snags and hollow trees. Wetlands

Life History may be classified as suitable if they are within an undetermined buffer of

suitable vegetation. Kentucky populations winter in caves, but are rare in most

caves in the summer (J.R. MacGregor). One large maternity colony in a

Kentucky cave has been reported (J.R. MacGregor).

Key Habitat throughout Kentucky distribution: UNKNOWN

Habitat

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- 1. Smithland Quad (Poor)
- 2. Caledonia Quad (Poor)

3. Boatwright Wildlife Management Area, Ballard Wildlife Management Area,

and West Kentucky Wildlife Management Area (Good)

Guilds caves, rock shelters, and clifflines, forested wetland, running water, savanna/

shrub-scrub.

Statewide SoutheasternMyotis.pdf

Map

Southeastern Myotis

Myotis austroriparius

Conservation Issues

Biological/ consumptive uses

5C Biological collection (overharvest). Collecting and banding can cause bats to vacate (Mumford and Whitaker 1982)

Miscellaneous Mortality Factors

- 6D Human disturbance (spelunking, destruction/disturbance of nest sites).

 Gore and Hovis 1992
- 6G Stochastic events (droughts, unusual weather, pine beetle damage, flooding etc.). Flooding of caves; Gore and Hovis 1992

Terrestrial habitat degradation

- 3M Timber harvest. Around cave entrance; Gore and Hovis 1992
- 3U Loss, lack and degradation of special and unique microhabitats. Gore and Hovis 1992

Swamp Rabbit Sylvilagus aquaticus

Federal	Heritage	GRank	SRank	GRank	SRank
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
N	N	G5	S3S4	G5	S 3

G-Trend Decreasing

G-Trend Range diminishing rapidly in Oklahoma due primarily to destruction of habitat

Comment (draining of swampy areas, clearing of floodplains, damming of rivers; Caire et al. 1989). Has declined in Missouri due to deforestation; apparently locally abundant in some locations (Figg 1991).

S-Trend Decreasing

Sole (1994) studied distribution of species, but noted rate of habitat loss for

Comment this species through recent decades. Species still widely distributed throughout its historic range, but habitat loss has severely isolated the species and extirpated it from some areas (Sole 1994).

Habitat / Cane brake community (Arundinaria gigantica) should be added as "other"Life History habitat type, should be mapped if possible.

This species is usually restricted to floodplains, bottomlands, riparian areas.

Prefers mature forests but is associated with dense, brushy thickets in wooded floodplains along borders of lakes, river, and swamps (NatureServe 2004). In Kentucky, swamp rabbits are often found in giant cane (Arundinaria gigantica) thickets along the edges of mature forests and wetlands.

Key Habitat throughout Kentucky distribution: Overall POOR, but GOOD in some

Habitat sites.

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- 1. Fulton and Hickman Counties (FAIR to GOOD)
- 2. Ballard, Carlisle, McCracken, and Graves Counties (FAIR)
- 3. Ohio and Mississippi River bottoms in Fulton, Hickman, Carlisle, and

Ballard Counties (FAIR to GOOD)

- 4. Marshall County (GOOD)
- 5. Caldwell and Hopkins county line (FAIR)
- 6. Hopkins/Muhlenberg/McLean county lines (FAIR)

Guilds Emergent and shrub-dominated wetlands, forested wetland, savanna/ shrub-

Statewide SwampRabbit.pdf

Map

Swamp Rabbit

Sylvilagus aquaticus

Conservation Issues

Aquatic habitat degradation

- 2E Stream channelization/ditching
- 2F Riparian zone removal (Agriculture/development)
- 2H Wetland loss/drainage/alteration

Biological/ consumptive uses

5H Isolated populations (low gene flow)

Terrestrial habitat degradation

- 3A Row-crop agriculture (conversion to, annual reuse of fields, etc)
- 3E Livestock grazing. Of riparian zones
- 3R Habitat and/or Population Fragmentation

Federal

Heritage

Virginia Big-eared Bat

Corynorhinus townsendii virginianus

SRank

GRank

	O				
Status	Status			(Simplified)	(Simplified)
LE	E	G4T2	S 1	G2	S 1
Increasi	ng				
U.S. Fis	h and Wildlif	Se Service (19	90) catego	orized the status as	s "improving,"
with the	population "	stable overall	" (NatureS	erve 2004). Kent	ucky

SRank

Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources (J.R. MacGregor and T.A. Hemberger) believes the population is slowly increasing.

GRank

S-Trend Stable

S-Trend Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources data

Comment

G-Trend

G-Trend

Comment

Habitat / This species uses caves, sandstone rock shelters, and cliffline habitat. Wetlands

Life History may be classified as suitable if they are within an undetermined buffer of
suitable vegetation. Caves are typically in limestone karst regions dominated
by mature hardwood forests of hickory, beech, maple, and hemlock (Matthews
and Moseley 1990). The species prefers cool, well-ventilated caves for
hibernation (Matthews and Moseley 1990). In eastern Kentucky, feeding
roosts were in cliffs adjacent to two maternity roosts and one bachelor roost
(Burford and Lacki 1998).

Key

Habitat throughout Kentucky distribution: FAIR

Habitat

Key Habitat Locations (and their condition):

- 1. Lee County (Good)
- 2. Jackson County (Good)
- 3. Rockcastle County (Good)

Guilds

caves, rock shelters, and clifflines, emergent and shrub-dominated wetlands,

grassland/agricultural, savanna/ shrub-scrub, upland forest.

Statewide

VirginiaBig-earedBat.pdf

Map

Virginia Big-eared Bat

Corynorhinus townsendii virginianus

Conservation Issues

Biological/ consumptive uses

5D Competition from introduced/invasive or native species. potentially gypsy moth (Sample and Whitmore 1993)

Miscellaneous Mortality Factors

6D Human disturbance (spelunking, destruction/disturbance of nest sites)

MAMMAL LITERATURE CITED

Baker, R.J., Ward, C.M., 1967. Distribution of bats in southeast Arkansas. Journal of Mammalogy 48:130-132.

Barbour, R.W., Davis, W.H., 1969. Bats of America. The University of Kentucky Press, Lexington, Kentucky.

Barbour, R.W., Davis, W.H.. Mammals of Kentucky. 1974. Lexington, Kentucky, University Press of Kentucky.

Bellocq, M.I., Bendell, J.F., Cadogan, B.I.. Effects of the insecticide *Bacillus thuringiensis* on *Sorex cinereus* (masked shrew) populations, diet, and prey selection in a jack pine plantation in northern Ontario. Canadian Journal of Zoology 70, 505-510. 1992.

Brady, J., Kunz T.H., Tuttle, M. D, and Wilson, D. Gray Bat Recovery Plan . 140. 1982. U.S. Fish Wildlife Service .

Brooks, R.T., Healy, W.M.. Response of small mammal communities to silviculture treatments in eastern hardwood forests of West Virginia and Massachusetts. Management of amphibians, reptiles and small mammals in North America: Proceedings of the symposium. pages 313-318. 1988. U.S. Forest Service.

Brown, L.N.. A guide to the mammals of the southeastern United States. 1997. Knoxville, Tennessee, The University of Tennessee Press.

Burford, L.S., Lacki, M.J., 1998. Moths consumed by *Corynorhinus townsendii virginianus* in eastern Kentucky. American Midland Naturalist 139:141-146.

Caire, W., Tyler, J.D., Glass, B.P., Mares, M.A.. Mammals of Oklahoma. 1989. Norman, Oklahoma, University of Oklahoma Press.

Castleberry, S.B.. Conservation and management of the Allegheny woodrat in the central Appalachians, PHD dissertation. 2000. Morgantown, West Virginia, West Virginia University. Notes: PHD Dissertation; thesis

Chapman, J.A., Cramer, K.L., Dippenaar, N.J., Robinson, T.J.. Systematics and biogeography of the New England cottontail, *Sylvilagus transitionalis*, with the description of a new species from the Appalachian Mountains. Proceedings of the Biological Society. 105, pages 841-866. 1992. Biological Society.

Chapman, J.A., Morgan, R.P.. Systematic status of the cottontail complex in western Maryland and nearby West Virginia. Wildlife Monographs No. 36. 1973. Washington, D.C., The Wildlife Society.

Chapman, J.A., Stauffer, J.R.. The status and distribution of the New England cottontail. Myers, K and MacInnes, C. D. editors. Proceedings of the World Lagomorph Conference, 973-983. 1981. Guelph, Ontario, Canada, University of Guelph.

Choate, J.R., Jones Jr., J.K., Jones, C.. Handbook of mammals of the south-central states. 1994. Baton Rouge, Louisiana, Louisiana State University Press.

Churchfield, S.. The Natural History of Shrews. 1992. Ithaca, New York, Cornell University Press.

Clark, D.R.. Environmental contaminants and the management of bat populations in the United States. Management of amphibians, reptiles, and small mammals in North America: proceedings of the symposium. pages 409-413. 1988. U.S. Forest Service, General Technical Report RM166.

Conway, C.H., Pfitzer, D.W.. *Sorex palustris* and *Sorex dispar* from the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. Journal of Mammalogy 33, 106-108. 1952.

DeLorme. Kentucky Atlas and Gazetteer. 1997. DeLorme.

Dimond, J.B., Sherburne, J.A.. Persistence of DDT in wild populations of small mammals. Nature 221, 486-487. 1969.

Dunn, J.P., Hall, J.S.. Status of cave-dwelling bats in Pennsylvania. Journal of Pennsylvania Academy of Sciences 63 (3), 166-172. 1989.

Engel, J.M., Cowresal, F.R., Marin, R.L., Messerli, J.R., Hooper, T.H., Mumford, R.E., Terry, L.E.. Indiana bat recovery plan. 1976. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Feldhamer, G.A., Gates, J.E., Chapman, J.A.. Threatened and endangered plants and animals of Maryland. Rare, threatened, endangered and extirpated mammals from Maryland. 395-438. 1984. Annapolis, Maryland, Maryland Natural Heritage Program. Nordon, A. W., Forester, D. C., and Fenwich, G. H.

Figg, D.E.. Missouri Department of Conservation Annual Nongame and Endangered Species Report July 1990-June 1991. 1991. Missouri, Missouri Department of Conservation .

Gore, J.A., Hovis, J.A., 1992. The southeastern bat: another cave-roosting species in peril. Bats 10 (2):10-12.

Hall, J.S.. A life history and taxonomic study of the Indiana bat, *Myotis sodalis*. Reading Publication Museum Art Gallery, Science Publication 12 12, 68 pages. 1962.

Hall, J.S.. Status of the endangered Indiana bat, *Myotis sodalis*, in Pennsylvania. 1979. Notes: Submitted to the Pennsylvania Game Commission, unpublished

Hall, J.S. 1985. Species of special concern in Pennsylvania, Special Publication 11: Eastern woodrat. Pages 362-365 Carnegie Museum of Natural History, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA.

Handley Jr., C.O.. A revision of American bats of the genera Euderma and Plecotus. Proceedings

U.S. National Museum. 110, pages 95-246. 1959. U.S. National Museum.

Handley Jr., C.O., Patton, C.P.. Wild mammals of Virginia. Wild mammals of Virginia. 1947. Richmond, Virginia, Virginia Commonwealth Game and Inland Fisheries.

Hayes, J.P.. Biogeographic, systematic, and conservation implications of geographic variation in woodrats of the eastern United States. 1990. Ithaca, New York, USA, Cornell University. Notes: Thesis

Hayes, J.P., Harrison, R.G.. Variation in mitochondrial DNA and the biogeographic history of woodrats (*Neotoma*) of the eastern United States. Systematic Biology 41, 331-344. 1992.

Herkert, J. R. Endangered and threatened species of Illinois: status and distribution 2, Animals. 1992. Illinois, Illinois Endangered Species Protection Board.

Johnson, S.A., Marmer, J.R.. 1994 Allegheny woodrat monitoring program. 1995. Bloomington, Indiana, USA, Indiana Division of Fish and Wildlife.

Jones, R.L., 2005. Plant life of Kentucky: an illustrated guide to the vascular flora. The University Press of Kentucky, Lexington, KY.

Kays, R., Wilson, D.E., 2002. Mammals of North America. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.

Kinlaw, A.. Spilogale putorius. Mammalian Species 511, 1-7. 1995.

Kirkland Jr., G.J., 1986. Small mammal species of special concern in Pennsylvania and adjacent states: an overview. Pennsylvania Academy of Sciences, Pennsylvania.

Llewellyn, L.M., Handley Jr., C.O.. The cottontail rabbits of Virginia. Journal of Mammalogy 26, 379-390. 1945.

Matthews, J.R., Moseley, C.J., 1990. The Official World Wildlife Fund Guide to Endangered Species of North America, Volume 1: Plants, Mammals. Volume 1. Beacham Publishing, Inc., Washington, D.C.

McCay, T.S.. Use of woody debris by Cotton Mice (*Peromyscus gossypinus*) in a southeastern pine forest. Journal of Mammalogy 81, 527-535. 2000.

Mohr, C.E., 1972. The status of threatened species of cave-dwelling bats. Bulletin of the National Speleological Society 34:33-47.

Mumford, R.E., Whitaker Jr., J.O.. Mammals of Indiana. 1982. Bloomington, Indiana, Indiana University Press.

NatureServe. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life. World Wide Web . 2004. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. October 27th, 2004.

Richter, A.R., Humphrey, S.R., Cope, J.B., Brack Jr., V.. Modified cave entrances: thermal effect on body mass and resulting decline of endangered Indiana bats (*Myotis sodalis*). Conservation Biology 7 (2), 407-415. 1993.

Sample, B.E., Whitmore, R.C.. Food habits of the endangered Virginia big-eared bat in West Virginia. Journal of Mammalogy 74, 428-435. 1993.

Sealander Jr., J.A., 1960. Some noteworthy records of Arkansas mammals. Journal of Mammalogy 41:525-526.

Sole, J.D.. Assessing swamp rabbit distribution in Kentucky. Proceedings of the annual meeting of the Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies. 48, pages 145-151. 1994. Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies.

Sole, J.D.. Distribution and habitat of Appalachian cottontails in Kentucky. Proceedings of the annual meeting of the Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies. 53, Pages 444-448. 1999. Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies.

Thomas, S.C.. Allegheny woodrat monitoring: final report. 2003. Frankfort, Kentucky, KDFWR. Notes: Submitted to KDFWR to fulfill Cooperative Agreement #G5530020001

Tuttle, M.D.. Status, causes of decline, and management of endangered gray bats. Journal of Wildlife Management 43, 1-17. 1979.

Twente, J.W.. Some aspects of habitat selection and other behavior of cavern-dwelling bats. Ecology 36, 706-732. 1955.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Endangered and threatened species recovery program: report to Congress. 1990. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS).

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Endangered and Threatened Species of the Southeastern United States (The Red Book). 1992. Washington, DC., U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Webster, W. D. *Sorex dispar blitchi* Schwartz, Rock shrew. pages 39-40. 1987. North Carolina, North Carolina Biological Survey. Endangered, threatened and rare fauna of North Carolina, Part I: A re-evaluation of the mammals, Occasional papers of the North Carolina Biological Survey. Clark, M. K.

Wethington, T.A.. Status and management of endangered bats in Kentucky. Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies. 55, pages 389-395, 2001.

Whitaker, J.O., Hamilton Jr., W.J.. Mammals of the eastern United States. 1998. Ithaca, New York, USA and London, England, UK, Cornell University Press.

Wilson, D.E., Ruff, S.. The Smithsonian book of North American mammals. 1999. Washington, D.C., Smithsonian Institution Press.

Wolfe, J.L., Linzoy, A.V., 1977. *Peromyscus gossypinus*. American Society of Mammalogy, Mammalian Species 70:5 pages.

Yahner, R.H.. Dynamics of a small mammal community in a fragmented forest. American Midland Naturalist 127, 381-391. 1992.